ATTACHMENI

File Number: 2005-0609 No. 05-23

PLANNING DIVISION CITY OF SUNNYVALE P.O. BOX 3707 SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT 2603

This form is provided as a notification of an intent to adopt a Negative Declaration which has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #118-04.

PROJECT TITLE:

Application for Rezone, Parcel Map, Special Development Permit by Sunbro Builders.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

Application for related proposals on a 22,213 square-foot site located at 926 South Wolfe Road (near Primrose Ave) in an R-0 (Low-Density Residential) Zoning District. (APN: 213-25-010)

- Rezone from R-0 (Low-Density Residential) to R-1.5 (Low-Medium Density Residential) Zoning District.
- Special Development Permit to allow four new single-family homes, and
- Parcel Map to subdivide one lot into four lots.

WHERE TO VIEW THIS DOCUMENT:

The Negative Declaration, its supporting documentation and details relating to the project are on file and available for review and comment in the Office of the Secretary of the Planning Commission, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

This Negative Declaration may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on {DATE FROM THE LEGAL ADS}. Protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a Negative Declaration will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

HEARING INFORMATION:

A public hearing on the project is scheduled for:

Monday, August 8, 2005 at 8:00 p.m. and Tuesday, August 16, 2005 at 7:00pm in the Council Chambers, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

TOXIC SITE INFORMATION:

(No) listed toxic sites are present at the project location.

Circulated On July 13, 2005

Andrew Miner, Principal Planner



PLANNING DIVISION CITY OF SUNNYVALE P.O. BOX 3707 SUNNYVALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707 Page File Number 2005-0609
No. 05-23

E12603

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This **Negative Declaration** has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #118-04.

PROJECT TITLE:

Application for Rezone, Parcel Map, Special Development Permit by Sunbro Builders.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

Application for related proposals on a 22,213 square-foot site located at **926 South Wolfe Road** (near Primrose Ave) in an R-0 (Low-Density Residential) Zoning District. (APN: 213-25-010)

- Rezone from R-0 (Low-Density Residential) to R-1.5 (Low-Medium Density Residential) Zoning District,
- Special Development Permit to allow four new single-family homes, and
- Parcel Map to subdivide one lot into four lots.

FINDINGS:

The Director of Community Development of the City of Sunnyvale, California, hereby determines that an environmental impact report is not required. There are sufficient environmental controls incorporated into the zoning regulations to ensure no significant detrimental effect.

The above determination is based upon the initial study conducted in this matter, information provided by the applicant in an "Application for Environmental Finding" that that the use is in keeping with not in conflict with the adopted General Plan, The Zoning Ordinance and the Subdivision Ordinance. That the sufficient environmental controls are incorporated in the Zoning and Subdivision regulations as to ensure no significant detrimental effect. That the use would be in keeping with the character of the proposed Zoning District.

This **Negative Declaration** may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on Such protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a Negative Declaration will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

Circulated On <u>July 13, 2005</u>	Signed:Andrew Miner, Principal Planner
Adopted On	Verified:
	Andrew Miner Principal Planner



File Number: 2005-0609 No. 05-23

California Department of Fish and Game CERTIFICATE OF FEE EXEMPTION

De Minimis Impact Finding

PROJECT TITLE/LOCATION (INCLUDE COUNTY):

The Rezone, Parcel Map, and Special Development Permit is located on 926 South Wolfe Road, City of Sunnyvale, County of Santa Clara in an R-0 (Low Density Residential) Zoning District. APN: 213-25-010

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Application for related proposals on a 22,213 square-foot site located at **926 South Wolfe Road** (near Primrose Ave) in an R-0 (Low-Density Residential) Zoning District. (APN: 213-25-010)

- Rezone from R-0 (Low-Density Residential) to R-1.5 (Low-Medium Density Residential) Zoning District,
- Special Development Permit to allow four new single-family homes, and
- Parcel Map to subdivide one lot into four lots.

FINDINGS OF EXEMPTION:

- 1. This project is in an urban setting.
- 2. There is no alteration of land or effect on fish or wildlife.

CERTIFICATION:

I hereby certify that the public agency has made the above finding and that the project will not individually or cumulatively have an adverse effect on wildlife resources, as defined in Section 711.2 of the Fish and Game Code.

Andrew Miner

Title: Principal Planner, Community Development

Lead Agency: City of Sunnyvale

Date: July 13, 2005

DFG: 3/94 Planner City of Sunnyvale Department of Community Development Planning Division P.O.Box 3707 Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3707 E12603
INITIAL STUDY

Page

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines

Project #:2005-0609

Project Address: 926 South Wolfe Road

Applicant: Sunbro Builders

2. Lead Agency Name and Address: City of Sunnyvale, Community Development Department, Planning Division 3. Contact Person and Phone Number: 4. Project Location: 5. Project Sponsor's Name and Address: 6. General Plan Designation: 7. Zoning: 8. Description of the Project: The project includes a General Plan Amendment and Rezoning to allow a four-lot residential subdivision at Residential Low/Medium Density. The project includes design review and construction of four two-story single family homes. 9. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting: (Briefly describe the project's surroundings) 10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g. permits, financing approval, or participation agreement).	1.	Project Title:	Sunbro Builders				
 Project Location: Project Sponsor's Name and Address: Sunbro Builders 22363 Stevens Creek Boulevard Cupertino CA 95014 RLO (Residential Low Density) Red (Residential maximum density 7 units per acre) Pescription of the Project: The project includes a General Plan Amendment and Rezoning to allow a four-lot residential subdivision at Residential Low/Medium Density. The project includes design review and construction of four two-story single family homes. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting: (Briefly describe the project's surroundings) Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g. permits, financing 	2.	Lead Agency Name and Address:					
 Zoning: R-0 (Residential maximum density 7 units per acre) Description of the Project: The project includes a General Plan Amendment and Rezoning to allow a four-lot residential subdivision at Residential Low/Medium Density. The project includes design review and construction of four two-story single family homes. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting: One and two story single family homes. (Briefly describe the project's surroundings) Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g. permits, financing 	4.	Project Location:	926 South Wolfe Road Sunbro Builders 22363 Stevens Creek Boulevard				
 Description of the Project: The project includes a General Plan Amendment and Rezoning to allow a four-lot residential subdivision at Residential Low/Medium Density. The project includes design review and construction of four two-story single family homes. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting: One and two story single family homes. (Briefly describe the project's surroundings) Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g. permits, financing 	6.	General Plan Designation:	RLO (Residential Low Density)				
four-lot residential subdivision at Residential Low/Medium Density. The project includes design review and construction of four two-story single family homes. 9. Surrounding Land Uses and Setting:	7.	Zoning:	R-0 (Residential maximum density 7 units per acre)				
(Briefly describe the project's surroundings) 10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g. permits, financing	8.	four-lot residential subdivision at Resid	ential Low/Medium Density. The project includes design				
(Briefly describe the project's surroundings) 10. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g. permits, financing							
is required (e.g. permits, financing	9.	(Briefly describe the project's	One and two story single family homes.				
	10.	is required (e.g. permits, financing	None				

For City of Sunnyvale

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Project	#: <u>2005-0609</u> : Address: <u>926 South Wolfe I</u>	Road			Pa
Applica					
The e	nvironmental factors checked	below '	TENTIALLY AFFECTED would be potentially affected by pact" as indicated by the check	y this r	project, involving at leas the following pages.
θ	Aesthetics	θ	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	θ	Public Services
θ	Agricultural Resources	θ	Hydrology/Water Quality	θ	Recreation
θ	Air Quality	θ	Land Use/Planning	θ	Transportation/Traf
θ	Biological Resources	θ	Mineral Resources	θ	Utilities/Service Systems
θ	Cultural Resources	θ	Noise	θ	Mandatory Findings Significance
θ	Geology/Soils	θ	Population/Housing		
On the	basis of this initial evaluation	on:	by the Lead Agency) ave a significant effect on the env	vironmei	nt, and a NEGATIVE
On the I find the DECLA I find the significant	basis of this initial evaluation hat the proposed project COULD ARATION will be prepared. The proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project cant effect in this case because revenue and the proposed project can be approximated by the proposed projec	on: NOT h	ave a significant effect on the envalue a significant effect on the envalue a significant effect on the envalue the project have been made by	vironme	nt, there will not be a
On the I find the DECLA I find the signification propon I find the	basis of this initial evaluation hat the proposed project COULD ARATION will be prepared. That although the proposed project cant effect in this case because revent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE	on: NOT h could h visions i E DECI	ave a significant effect on the envalue a significant effect on the envalue a significant effect on the envalue the project have been made by	vironme or agree	nt, there will not be a d to by the project
On the I find to DECLA I find to signification propon I find to IMPAC I find to mitigate document the early	basis of this initial evaluation hat the proposed project COULD ARATION will be prepared. That although the proposed project cant effect in this case because revent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE that the proposed project MAY has CT REPORT is required. That the proposed project MAY has the proposed project MAY has ed" impact on the environment, been the pursuant to applicable legal st	on: NOT h could h visions i E DECI ve a sig ve a "po ut at lea andards hed she	ave a significant effect on the environment of the the project have been made by LARATION will be prepared. In the project have been made by LARATION will be prepared. Initiate effect on the environment of the environment of the environment of the effect (1) has been adequated, and (2) has been addressed by mets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IM	vironme or agree at, and are otentially tely and noting ating ating ating ating ating ating ating at the control of the contro	nt, there will not be a d to by the project n ENVIRONMENTAL v significant unless lyzed in an earlier n measures based on
On the I find the signification proponer I find the mitigate document the earliest but it much that I find the potential pursuant NEGAT	basis of this initial evaluation hat the proposed project COULD ARATION will be prepared. That although the proposed project cant effect in this case because revent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE that the proposed project MAY has CT REPORT is required. That the proposed project MAY has ed" impact on the environment, been pursuant to applicable legal state analysis as described on attact must analyze only the effects that in that although the proposed project ally significant effects (a) have been to applicable standards and (b)	on: NOT h could h visions i E DECI ve a "po tut at lea andards hed she remain t could h een anal have be	ave a significant effect on the environment of the the project have been made by LARATION will be prepared. In the project have been made by LARATION will be prepared. Initiate effect on the environment of the environment of the environment of the effect (1) has been adequated, and (2) has been addressed by mets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IM	vironme or agree at, and ar otentially tely ana nitigation PACT F vironme TIVE DE to that e	nt, there will not be a d to by the project in ENVIRONMENTAL is significant unless lyzed in an earlier in measures based on REPORT is required, int, because all ECLARATION earlier EIR or
On the I find the signification proponer I find the IMPAC I find the early but it must be the early but it must be the the early but it must be th	basis of this initial evaluation hat the proposed project COULD ARATION will be prepared. That although the proposed project cant effect in this case because revent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE that the proposed project MAY has ed" impact on the environment, been pursuant to applicable legal state analysis as described on attact that although the proposed project hat although the proposed project ally significant effects (a) have been to applicable standards and (b) TIVE DECLARATION, including	on: NOT h could h visions i E DECI ve a "po tut at lea andards hed she remain t could h een anal have be	ave a significant effect on the environment of the environment of the effect on the environment of the effect on the environment of the effect on the environment of the effect (1) has been adequated, and (2) has been addressed by notes. An ENVIRONMENTAL IM to be addressed. The environment of the	vironme or agree at, and ar otentially tely ana nitigation PACT F vironme TIVE DE to that e	nt, there will not be a d to by the project in ENVIRONMENTAL is significant unless lyzed in an earlier in measures based on REPORT is required, int, because all ECLARATION earlier EIR or

Printed Name

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines

City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 3 of 17

Project #:	2005-0609
Project Address:	926 South Wolfe Road
Applicant: Su	nbro Builders

E12603

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (d). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The analysis of each issue should identify: (a) the significance criteria or threshold used to evaluate each question; and (b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 4 of 17

Project #: 2005-0609	1 age 4 01 17
Project Address: 926 South Wolfe Road	
Applicant: Sunbro Builders	E12603

Issu	ies and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source					
Pla	Planning Division Checklist (4 of 8)										
I.	AESTHETICS. Would the project:			·							
a.	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	- θ	θ	θ_{a}	X	17, 2					
b.	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	θ	θ	θ	X	17, 94					
c.	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	θ	θ	θ	X	94					
d.	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	θ	θ	θ	X	95					
II.	AIR QUALITY: Where available, the significance management or air pollution control district may be relied the project:										
a.	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	θ	θ	θ	X	3					
b.	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation.	θ	θ	θ	X	3,97					
c.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	θ	θ	θ	X	3					
d.	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	θ	θ	θ	X	3					
e.	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	θ	θ	θ	X	3					

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines

City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 5 of 17

Project #: _______ 2005-0609
Project Address: ______ 926 South Wolfe Road
Applicant: _____ Sunbro Builders

E12603

-						v e
Issu	ues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Pla	nning Division Checklist (5 of 8)		,			
III. a.	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES: Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or	θ	θ	θ	X	94
	regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service?			·		
b.	Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service?	θ	θ	θ	X	94
C.	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	θ	θ	θ	X	94
d.	Interfere substantially with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	θ	θ	θ	X	94
e.	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	θ	θ	0	X	41,115
f.	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	θ	θ	θ	X	
IV. a.	CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project: Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?	θ	θ	θ	X	59
b.	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resources pursuant to Section 15064.5?	θ	θ	θ	X	_10

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 6 of 17

	oject #:					
	oject Address: 926 South Wolfe Road				CA 6	*
Ap	plicant: Sunbro Builders			126	Ue	
Issi	ues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Pla	nning Division Checklist (6 of 8)					
c.	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	θ	θ	θ	X	10
d.	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	θ	θ	θ	X	_10
V. a.	LAND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project: Physically divide an established community?	θ	θ	θ	X	12,115
Ъ.	Conflict with an applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	θ	θ	θ	X	12
c.	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community's conservation plan?	θ	Ð	θ	X	17
VI.	MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project: Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	θ	θ	θ	X	19
b.	Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	θ	θ	θ	X	_19
VII a.	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	θ	0	X	θ	115, 116, see discussion at end of checklist
b.	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	θ	θ	θ	X	_16
c.	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in	θ	θ	θ	$\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{c}}$	16

the project vicinity above levels existing without the

project?

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 7 of 17

	ject #:2005-0609				1 450	7 01 17		
	ject Address: <u>926 South Wolfe Road</u> plicant: Sunbro Builders			a a		1 61		
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Sunoro Bunucis			E12	OL	ľŌ		
	ues and Supporting Information	Potentially . Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source		
Pla	nning Division Checklist (7 of 8)					J.,		
d.	A substantially temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	θ	θ	θ	X	_16		
e.	For a project located within an airport land use plan, or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	θ	θ	θ	X	_16		
f.	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	θ	θ	θ	X	_16		
VIII a.	I.POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project: Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	θ	θ	θ	X			
b.	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	θ	θ	θ	X	_11		
c.	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	θ	θ	θ	X	11		
IX.	IX. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities, need for new or physically altered government facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:							
a.	Schools?	θ	θ	θ	X	95_		
b.	Other public facilities? Parks	θ	θ		X	17, 18		

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 8 of 17

	ject #:2005-0609					
Pro	ject Address: 926 South Wolfe Road				and the second	
	olicant: Sunbro Builders					
		•,				
	ies and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Pla	nning Division Checklist (8 of 8)					
х.	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE		~			
a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	θ	θ	θ	X	_28
b.	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of the past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	θ	θ	θ	X	12,115
c.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	θ	θ	θ	X	115

ATTACHMENT OF 20

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines

City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 9 of 17

	t Address: 926 South Wolfe Road	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				agenta antick
Applic	eant: Sunbro Builders			EI	20	
Issues	and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Buildi	ng & Safety Division Checklist (1 of 1)		.1			
XI.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse death involving:	erse effects	, including	the risk of	floss, in	ijury
(i)	Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	θ.	θ	θ	X	UBC, UDC, UNC, NEC
(ii)	Strong seismic ground shaking?	θ	θ	θ	X	11
(iii)	Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	θ	θ	θ	X	***
(iv)	Landslides?	θ	θ	θ	X	н
b) R	esult in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	θ	θ	θ	X	11
w p	be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and otentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral preading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	θ	θ	θ	X	п
tł	e located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-a-B of ne Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial sks to life or property?	θ	θ	θ	X	n
S W	lave soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of eptic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste vater?	θ	θ	θ	X	u .

ATTACHMENT____

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM
Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 10 of 17

	ject Address: <u>926 South Wolfe Road</u>	R	•			
App	plicant: Sunbro Builders	*	_	400		
				126	U	O
Issi	nes and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Pub	olic Works Department Checklist (1 of 1)				<u> </u>	<u>.</u>
XII	. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the	project:		-		
a)	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	θ	θ.	. Ө	X	20
b)	Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	θ	θ	θ	X	20
c) ₁	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	θ	θ	θ	X	24
d)	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	θ	θ	θ	X	25
e)	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which services or may serve the project determined that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	θ	θ	θ	X	20
f)	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	θ	θ	θ	X	22
g)	Comply with federal, state, and local statues and regulations related to solid waste?	θ	Ө	θ	X	22

Project #: _

2005-0609

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 11 of 17

Project #:	2005-0609	
Project Address:	926 South Wolfe Road	
Applicant: Sur	nbro Builders	

E12603

					n Allen	
	ues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Tra	affic Division Public Works Department Checklist (1 o	f 1)			: 1	
XII	I. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:	· .	_	·_		
a)	Cause an increase in the traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?	θ	θ .	θ.	X	<u>75</u>
b)	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	θ	θ	θ	X	12,82
c)	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	θ	θ	θ	X	114
d)	Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?	θ	θ	θ	X	<u>76</u>
e)	Result in inadequate emergency access?	θ	θ	θ	X	<u>76</u>
f)	Result in inadequate parking capacity?	θ	θ	θ	X	<u>37</u>
g)	Conflict with adopted policies or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?	θ	θ	θ	X	85, 12

Page___

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines

City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 12 of 17

Pro	ject #:					
	ject Address: 926 South Wolfe Road			NIC		00
App	olicant: Sunbro Builders	**********************		Els	00	JŽ
Issu	nes and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Fire	e Division Public Safety Department Checklist (1 of 2))	1	·	<u> </u>	
XIV	7. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. V	Vould the p	project?	_	·	
a)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?	θ	θ	. θ	X	<u>UFC/UB</u> <u>C/SVMC</u>
b)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the likely release of hazardous materials into the environment?	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/UB C/SVMC
c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an exiting or proposed school?	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/UB C/SVMC
d)	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/UB C/SVMC
e)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/UB C/SVMC
f)	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/UB C/SVMC
g)	Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/UB C/SVMC
h)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/UB C/SVMC

residences are intermixed with wildlands?

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 13 of 17

Proje							
	ct Address: 926 South Wolfe Road						
Appli	cant: Sunbro Builders			•	FI9	GI	10
Issue	s and Supporting Information		Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Source
Fire	Division Public Safety Department	Checklist (2 of 2)					
-	PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the proje provision of new or physically altered go facilities, the construction of which could acceptable service ratios, response times	overnment facilities, i d cause significant er	need for ne	w or physica al impacts, i	ally altered n order to n	governr naintain	nent
a) :	Fire protection?	•	θ	θ	θ	X	UFC/U BC/SV MC
of 1)	PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the proche provision of new or physically alter government facilities, the construction maintain acceptable service ratios, respectives:	ject result in substar red government faci of which could caus	lities, need e significa	for new or nt environn	physically nental impa	altered cts, in	order to
	& Recreation Department Checkl	ist (1 of 1)					
a)	Would the project increase the use of eneighborhood or regional parks or other facilities such that substantial physical the facility would occur or be accelerated.	er recreational deterioration of	θ	θ	0	X	17,18
b)	Does the project include recreational for the construction or expansion of recreational which might have an adverse physical environment?	tional facilities	θ	θ	0	X	<u>17, 18</u>

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines
City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division
Page 14 of 17

\mathbf{A}	pplicant: Sunbro Builders					ar a th.
			F	112	60	3
Is	sues and Supporting Information	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Sour
Eı	ıvironmental Division Public Works Department Checl	klist (1 of 1	1)		_	
XV	VII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the pr	roject:				
	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	θ	θ	θ	X	_24,
b)	Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	θ	θ	θ	X	_25,
c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	θ	θ	θ	X	_95,
d)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off site?	θ	θ	θ	X	95,
e)	Create or contribute runoff which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	θ	θ	θ	X	_24
f)	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	θ	θ	θ	X	_56
g)	Place housing within a 100-year floodplain, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	θ	θ	θ	X	_56_
h)	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	θ	θ	θ	X	_56
i)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	θ	θ	θ	X	56
j)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	θ	θ	θ	X	24

INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

Appendix G, CEQA Guidelines

City of Sunnyvale, Department of Community Development, Planning Division

Page 15 of 17

Project #:	2005-0609
Project Address:	926 South Wolfe Road
Applicant: Su	nbro Builders

RESPONSE

Less than Significant

E12603

Less than Significant with Mitigation

VII NOISE:

ITEM (a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies.

Appendix A of the Noise Sub-element of the General Plan establishes noise contours that may result in the residential units closest to Wolfe Road being subjected to noise levels exceeding the maximum permitted by the goals and ordinances of the City of Sunnyvale.

An acoustical assessment was conducted by Illingworth & Rodkin, Inc. The noise measurements at the proposed building facades is estimated to be about 70 Ldn. The following mitigations were recommended: MITIGATION MEASURE:

- 1) Windows and sliding glass doors in the facades facing South Wolfe Road and perpendicular to it shall have a minimum sound transmission class (STS) rating of 28 or higher.
- 2) Entry doors shall be fully weather-stripped.
- 3) The residences facing South Wolfe Road shall include forced air mechanical ventilation, satisfactory to the local building official, so that occupants may keep their windows closed at their discretion to control traffic noise.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST REFERENCE LIST

Note: All references are the most recent version as of the date the initial Study was prepared:

- 1. City of Sunnyvale General Plan:
- 2. Map
- 3. Air Quality Sub-Element
- 4. Community Design Sub-Element
- 5. Community Participation Sub-Element
- 6. Cultural Arts Sub-Element
- 7. Executive Summary
- 8. Fire Services Sub-Element
- 9. Fiscal Sub-Element
- 10. Heritage Preservation Sub-Element
- 11. Housing & Community Revitalization Sub-Element
- 12. Land Use & Transportation Sub-Element
- 13. Law Enforcement Sub-Element
- 14. Legislative Management Sub-Element
- 15. Library Sub-Element
- 16. Noise Sub-Element
- 17. Open Space Sub-Element.
- 18. Recreation Sub-Element
- 19. Safety & Seismic Safety Sub-Element
- 20. Sanitary Sewer System Sub-Element
- 21. Socio-Economic Sub-Element
- 22. Solid Waste Management Sub-Element
- 23. Support Services Sub-Element
- 24. Surface Run-off Sub-Element
- 25. Water Resources Sub-Element
- 26. City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code:
- 27. Chapter 10
- 28. Zoning Map
- 29. Chapter 19.42. Operating Standards
- 30. Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan District
- 31. Chapter 19.18. Residential Zoning Districts
- 32. Chapter 19.20. Commercial Zoning Districts
- 33. Chapter 19.22. Industrial Zoning Districts
- 34. Chapter 19.24. Office Zoning Districts
- 35. Chapter 19.26. Combining Zoning Districts
- 36. Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan
- 37. Chapter 19.46. Off-Street Parking & Loading
- 38. Chapter 19.56. Solar Access
- 39. Chapter 19.66. Affordable Housing
- 40. Chapter 19.72. Conversion of Mobile Home Parks to Other Uses
- 41. Chapter 19.94. Tree Preservation
- 42. Chapter 19.96. Heritage Preservation

Specific Plans

43. El Camino Real Precise Plan

- 44. Lockheed Site Master Use Permit
- 45. Moffett Field Comprehensive Use Plan
- 46. 101 & Lawrence Site Specific Plan
- 47. Southern Pacific Corridor Plan

Environmental Impact Reports

- 48. Futures Study Environmental Impact Report
- 49. Lockheed Site Master Use Permit Environmental Impact Report
- 50. Tasman Corridor LRT Environmental Impact Study (supplemental)
- 51. Kaiser Permanente Medical Center Replacement Center Environmental Impact Report (City of Santa Clara)
- 52. Downtown Development Program Environmental Impact Report
- 53. Caribbean-Moffett Park Environmental Impact Report
- 54. Southern Pacific Corridor Plan Environmental Impact Report

Maps

- 55. City of Sunnyvale Aerial Maps
- 56. Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FEMA)
- 57. Santa Clara County Assessors Parcel
- 58. Utility Maps (50 scale)

Lists/Inventories

- 59. Sunnyvale Cultural Resources Inventory List
- 60. Heritage Landmark Designation List
- 61. Santa Clara County Heritage Resource Inventory
- 62. Hazardous Waste & Substances Sites List (State of California)
- 63. List of Known Contaminants in Sunnyvale

Legislation/Acts/Bills/Codes

- 64. Subdivision Map Act
- 65. Uniform Fire Code, including amendments per SMC adoption
- 66. National Fire Code (National Fire Protection Association)
- 67. Title 19 California Administrative Code
- 68. California Assembly Bill 2185/2187 (Waters Bill)
- 69. California Assembly Bill 3777 (La Follette Bill)

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST REFERENCE LIST

Note: All references are the most recent version as of the date the initial Study was prepared:

70. Superfund Amendments & Reauthorization Act (SARA) Title III

Transportation

- 71. California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual
- 72. California Department of Transportation Traffic Manual
- 73. California Department of Transportation Standard Plan
- 74. California Department of Transportation Standard Specification
- 75. Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation
- 76. Institute of Transportation Engineers
 Transportation and Traffic Engineering
 Handbook
- 77. U.S. Dept. of Transportation Federal Highway Admin. Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Street and Highways
- 78. California Vehicle Code
- 79. Traffic Engineering Theory & Practice by L. J. Pegnataro
- 80. Santa Clara County Congestion Management Program and Technical Guidelines
- 81. Santa Clara County Transportation Agency Short Range Transit Plan
- 82. Santa Clara County Transportation Plan
- 83. Traffic Volume Studies, City of Sunnyvale Public works Department of Traffic Engineering Division
- 84. Santa Clara County Sub-Regional Deficiency Plan
- 85. Bicycle Plan

Public Works

- 86. Standard Specifications and Details of the Department of Public Works
- 87. Storm Drain Master Plan
- 88. Sanitary Sewer Master Plan
- 89. Water Master Plan
- 90. Solid Waste Management Plan of Santa Clara County
- 91. Geotechnical Investigation Reports

- 92. Engineering Division Project Files
- 93. Subdivision and Parcel Map Files
- 94. Field Inspection
- 95. Environmental Information Form
- 96. Annual Summary of Containment Excesses (BAAQMD)
- 97. Current Air Quality Data
- 98. Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program (EPA) Interim Document in 1985?)
- 99. Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) Population Projections
- 100. Bay Area Clean Air Plan
- 101. City-wide Design Guidelines
- 102. Industrial Design Guidelines

Building Safety

- 103. Uniform Building Code, Volume 1, (Including the California Building Code, Volume 1)
- 104. Uniform Building Code, Volume 2, (Including the California Building Code, Volume 2)
- 105. Uniform Plumbing Code, (Including the California Plumbing Code)
- 106. Uniform Mechanical Code, (Including the California Mechanical Code)
- 107. National Electrical Code (Including California Electrical Code)
- 108. Title 16 of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code

Additional References

- 109. USFWS/CA Dept. F&G Special Status Lists
- 110. Project Traffic Impact Analysis
- 111. Project Description
- 112. Project Development Plans
- 113. Santa Clara County Airport Land Use Plan
- 114. Federal Aviation Administration
- 115. Applicant Site and Architectural Plans
- 116. Acoustic Analysis